DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 023 890 08 VT 007 128

By-Thomas, Robert W.
Research and Development in Vocational and Technical Education; Non-Metropolitan Areas; Area Development and Iowa Area Maps. Final Report, Appendix Four.
Iowa State Univ. of Science and Technology, Ames.
Spons Agency-Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C. Bureau of Research.
Bureau No-BR-5-0045
Pub Date Jun 68
Contract-OEC-5-85-108
Note-139p.
EDRS Price MF-$0.75 HC-$7.05
Descriptors-*Area Vocational Schools, *Community Colleges, Maps, Post Secondary Education, Rural Areas, *School Districts, *Technical Education, *Vocational Education
Identifiers-Iowa

This appendix to "Research and Development in Vocational and Technical Education for Non-Metropolitan Areas" (VT 007 214) develops a rationale for area development programs under a concept of total education for action, and shows the 16 merged educational areas developed in Iowa over the period 1966-68. To enhance understanding of the composition of the 16 areas, maps of Iowa public school districts by counties are included grouped by area school heading. Other documents in this series related to vocational and technical education in non-metropolitan areas include ED 011 068, ED 011 069, and VT 007 129-VT 007 131. (DM)

BR. 5-0045
PA-08
C1

FINAL REPORT
Project No. ERD 255
Contract No. O. E. 5-85-108
BR. No. 5-0045
PA-08

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT IN VOCATIONAL AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION: NON-METROPOLITAN AREA; AREA DEVELOPMENT AND IOWA AREA MAPS

APPENDIX FOUR

June 1968

ED023890

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

VT007128

Office of Education
Bureau of Research

FINAL REPORT
Project No. ERD 255
Contract No. O. E. 5-85-108
BR. No. 5-0045

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT IN VOCATIONAL AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION: NON-METROPOLITAN AREA; AREA DEVELOPMENT AND IOWA AREA MAPS

APPENDIX FOUR

June 1968

The research reported herein was performed pursuant to a contract with the Office of Education, U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Contractors undertaking such projects under Government sponsorship are encouraged to express freely their professional judgment in the conduct of the project. Points of view or opinions stated do not, therefore, necessarily represent official Office of Education position or policy.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of Education
Bureau of Research

PREFACE

Appendix four reports a meaning for area development programs under a concept of total education for action, and shows the sixteen merged educational areas as developed over the period 1966-'68.

To enhance understanding of the composition of the sixteen areas as structured for Iowa, maps (drawn to scale) of Iowa public school districts by counties have been included. These have been grouped under the sixteen area headings. The boundary lines for the public school districts are for the 1963-'64 school year, the most recent boundary line data available for research.

John D. Hanson and Jane Fink prepared the school district maps, adapting material prepared previously by Professors Glenn E. Holmes (Education) and Robert W. Thomas (Economics).

Robert W. Thomas, Associate Professor of Economics, Department of Economics, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa; and Chairman, Strategic Intelligence Unit of the Project for Research and Development in Vocational and Technical Education: Non-metropolitan Areas.

AREA DEVELOPMENT: A NEW CAUSE FOR LAND-GRANT SCHOOLS?

I. INTRODUCTION

I have called area development a "cause," because the work has its champions and its critics. Possibly, for some, the word "direction" would be preferred. For purposes here, either term fits.

Now let me expose my hand before I play it. I propose to argue: (1) that area development may get top billing in the near future from land-grant schools; (2) that area development work is in keeping with land-grant school philosophy; (3) that land-grant schools enjoy a comparative advantage, if they choose to work in area development, but (4) that problems of organizing for effective area development certainly exist and are not to be lightly regarded.

Yet, and in short, I propose to change the question mark in the title to an exclamation point.

II. A NEW CAUSE OR DIRECTION FOR TODAY?

Current causes universities have chosen to champion include: (1) urban development, (2) minority group problems, (3) under developed countries, and (4) domestic area development. Of the four, probably in the last two land-grant schools enjoy a comparative advantage. Of these two, if the U.S. economy becomes less buoyant, possibly only the last one, domestic area development, will receive public support at state and local levels.

If our "grass roots" schools of the people seek to serve future generations as past generations have been served, greater attention to area development may be required.

III. AREA DEVELOPMENT AND LAND-GRANT PHILOSOPHY, DO THEY GO TOGETHER?

Is area development in keeping with land-grant school tradition? I believe so.

Land-grant school philosophy calls for helping "the people" (which meant, 100 years ago, "the rural people") solve "critical problems" (which were, 100 years ago, "domestic food problems").

Over the past century the major problems attacked included problems of training, problems of production, and problems of learning new ways of doing old things. Principles contained in original land-grant school philosophy provided the rationale to support attack on these three problems.

Today, these same principles can justify a present day attack on problems of training, production and learning. The only adjustment needed in the philosophy being considered is to be explicit about basic principles which have by now become implicit in land-grant school action. Being explicit about basic principles makes re-examination of the role of land-grant schools much easier. Today's critical problems of training, production, and re-learning are really not vastly different from yesterday's.

IV. A COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE TO DO AREA DEVELOPMENT, DO LAND-GRANT SCHOOLS HAVE THIS?

A. What is it?

Area development can be defined as dealing with a bit of geography and its attached human and non-human attributes. Because the area approach focuses on people not pastures, area development seeks to help people in an area to a richer life. Land-grant schools, have been concerned for agricultural people because these people have been both numerous and needy. Within area development, concern now would be shown for people in their environment and over their life span, instead of in their agricultural economic sector and over their agricultural production activities.

Under area development the pie of human problems would be cut into geographic areas, not into economic sectors. By this suggested change, one would examine and work with all relevant aspects of area inter-action, not just economic activity or physical phenomena. Within an area approach the impact on popular behavior of ethnic sub-cultures and their traditions could be examined.

B. Can land-grant schools do it better?

Because of the kind of "going concern" that the land-grant system is, a rather simple shift in emphasis is all that is needed for pursuit of area development. Because this minor change is all that is needed, this would appear to give the land-grant school a comparative advantage over other universities when doing area development. Land-grant schools already are accustomed to involvement with the rank and file of our people and their problems. Land-grant schools currently have staff committed to the philosophy of helping these people help themselves. The nation's land-grant schools, because of their kinds of teaching, research and extension, already have extensive off-campus activities, and have strong support from people being served. All that is needed is inter-disciplinary area concern instead of intra-disciplinary commodity or economic sector concern.

V. FOCUSING ON AREA DEVELOPMENT, CAN IT BE HANDLED IN THE LAND-GRANT STRUCTURES?

A. The land-grant organization problem

One needs to be aware of problems and difficulties of university re-direction. Staff and administrators face the same problems of any large organization when re-direction of activity is suggested. Because of the nature of present-day university organization,numerous problems present themselves when staff and administrators contemplate developing inter-disciplinary area studies and educational programs.

As one of my colleagues says, "People have problems and colleges have departments." In the environment of the present-day university, a group of scholars trained in subject-matter disciplines and responsible to given departments, find inter-departmental and inter-disciplinary research, teaching and extension strange, to say the least. Launching successful inter-disciplinary and inter-departmental studies for area development is not easy. However, these studies can be developed for they are being developed by several land-grant schools including South Dakota State University.

B. The area delimiting problem

Assuming a University can organize a portion of its teaching, research and extension programs for focus on area development problems, for practical and operational purposes, how is an area to be defined for study and for extension work?

In large part, the answer lies in the word, "homogeneity." Within the chosen area, certain similarities among the people are needed. Included among needed similarities is an area focus on a central city or trade center.

Because an area must be big enough to be "workable," that is to say, capable of achieving objectives set for it, a central city is needed to give economic focus. But how big an area around the city is needed? Further, what are area objectives?

Practically speaking, a "workable" area is usually bigger than one county, and smaller than a state. Area development is generally a group of counties seeking common objectives of development focused on a central city for economic activity.

C. The problem of development objectives

What, then are these "development objectives?"

Though I am an economist, note I have not said anywhere, so far, that area development is equated to area economic development. Neither have I said that the richer or fuller life to be sought for an area equates to economic or materialistic objectives. I think that shows remarkable restraint.

In our democratic society, a large dose of individualism is encouraged, and this individualism dictates a permissive kind of development. In our democracy, "grass roots" development is sought. Therefore, a large element of "permissive localism" would exist within the concept of what are and what are not area development objectives.

Area development programs certainly include varying amounts of cultural, social, religious, economic and educational development. Therefore, development for a given area may have certain unique qualities common to the culture and the people of the area. The development sought is really a process of living, a process of expansion of interests. Collective and individual achievements within the area which are in keeping with the popular will of the area is workable area development.

Certainly in today's world, a significant portion of the interests, concerns, and achievements within the area would be economic.

D. The functional economic area approach

The functional economic area approach may be desired. Such an area for economic development needs a cluster of economic activities and a mobile people within the area.

For 99 Iowa counties and three million Iowans, Karl Fox, Head, Department of Economics, Iowa State University, has determined about 12 functional economic areas each having a "central city" and a surrounding area running about 50 miles in each direction.

In these functional economic areas are contained home to work commuting patterns, as well as shopping patterns.

By studying these Iowa areas, each with a certain rural-urban component, each with a certain set of internal homogeneties and consistencies, one sees area socio-economic inter-action and hence one sees the inter-dependencies of rural-urban groups within each area plus the connective socio-economic tissue relating area to area.

E. How might a land-grant school organize for success in overcoming problems of focusing on area development?

To conquer problems mentioned, staff and administrators might begin by: (1) organizing groups of staff within the university to assess possibilities for doing effective area development work, (2) seeking stable and functioning areas so as to define terms like "workable areas and "acceptable" areas for a given state, and (3) seeking to define area objectives.

To date, no one has found this to come easily. Planning for area programs requires involvement of numerous staff members and off-campus leaders for both creative planning and for "legitimizing" focus on any set of area problems.

The ground gained to date by most land-grant schools seems to be secure. The foreseeable future course to follow appears clear.

VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, let me say I exposed my hand at the beginning. After that opening exposure, I hope I played my cards clearly.

The main points to be made on the subject of area development work by land-grant schools appear to be the following.

One,intra-state area development seems especially needed in the sparsely populated non-metropolitan areas of the West North Central United States. The land-grant schools by undertaking area development can perform an effective service for people of the state. Because of this, these land-grant schools will probably be giving area development work high priority ratings in the future.

Two, area development work is in keeping with land-grant school philosophy.

Three, land-grant schools probably have a comparative advantage when it comes to doing effective area development.

Four, special care needs to be exercised in planning, "legitimizing," organizing, and delivering. The first area development program needs to be a resounding success.

Merged Areas for Area Community Colleges (ACC) and Area Vocational Schools (AVS)


LYON
OSCEOLA
DICKINSON
EMMET
KOSSUTH
WINNEBAGO
WORTH
MITCHELL
HOWARD
WINNESHIEK
ALLAMAKEE
SIOUX
O'BRIEN
CLAY
PALO ALTO
HANCOCK
CERRO GORDO
FLOYD
CHICKASAW
FAYETTE
CLAYTON
IV AVS
III ACC
II ACC
I AVS
PLYMOUTH
CHEROKEE
BUENA VISTA
POCAHONTAS
HUMBOLDT
WRIGHT
FRANKLIN
BUTLER
BREMER
XII AVS
V ACC
WEBSTER
BLACK HAWK
BUCHANAN
DELAWARE
DUBUQUE
WOODBURY
IDA
SAC
CALHOUN
HAMILTON
HARDIN
GRUNDY
VII AVS
VIII
TAMA
BENTON
LINN
JONES
JACKSON
MONONA
CRAWFORD
CARROLL
GREENE
BOONE
STORY
MARSHALL
VI ACC
X ACC
CLINTON
IX ACC
CEDAR
HARRISON
SHELBY
AUDUBON
GUTHRIE
DALLAS
POLK
JASPER
POWESHIEK
IOWA
JOHNSON
SCOTT
XI ACC
MUSCATINE
POTTAWATTAMIE
CASS
ADAIR
MADISON
WARREN
MARION
MAHASKA
KEOKUK
WASHINGTON
XIII ACC
LOUISA
MILLS
MONTGOMERY
ADAMS
UNION
CLARKE
LUCAS
MONROE
WAPELLO
JEFFERSON
HENRY
DES MOINES
XIV ACC
XV ACC
XVI ACC
FREMONT
PAGE
TAYLOR
RINGGOLD
DECATUR
WAYNE
APPANOOSE
DAVIS
VAN BUREN
LEE

I AVS

Howard, Winneshiek, Allamakee
Chickasaw, Fayette, Clayton

HOWARD COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

1. Turkey Valley Comm.
2. New Hampton Comm.
3. Riceville Comm.
4. Howard-Winneshiek Comm.





WINNESHIEK COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Turkey Valley Comm.
2. Allamakee Comm.
3. Postville Comm.
4. Howard-Winneshiek Comm.
5. South Winneshiek Comm.
6. North Winneshiek Comm.
7. Decorah Comm.

ALLAMAKEE COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Eastern Allamakee Comm.
2. Allamakee Comm.
3. Postville Comm.
4. M F L Comm.
5. South Winneshiek Comm.


Mississippi River
1
2
Waukon
3
4
5→

CHICKASAW COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Turkey Valley Comm.
2. New Hampton Comm.
3. Charles City Comm.
4. Sumner Comm.
5. Fredericksburg Comm.
6. Nashua Comm.
7. Tripoli Comm.
8. Howard-Winneshiek Comm.



FAYETTE COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.


1
2
West Union
3
5
4
10
9
6
8
7
Oelwein

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Turkey Valley Comm.
2. North Fayette County Comm.
3. Postville Comm.
4. Sumner Comm.
5. Valley Comm.
6. Starmont Comm.
7. Wapsie Valley Comm.
8. Oelwein Comm.
9. West Central Comm.
10. Fayette Comm.

CLAYTON COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼ " = 1 mi.


3
4
1
5
2
Elkader
7
Guttenberg
9
6
8

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Mar-Mac Comm.
2. Garnavillo Comm.
3. Postville Comm.
4. M F L Comm.
5. Valley Comm.
6. Starmont Comm.
7. Central Comm.
8. Edgewood-Colesburg Comm.
9. Guttenberg Comm.

II ACC

Winnebago, Worth, Mitchell
Hancock, Cerro Gordo, Floyd
Wright, Franklin, Butler

WINNEBAGO COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Forest City Comm.
2. Woden-Crystal Lake Comm.
3. Buffalo Center Comm.
4. Thompson Comm.
5. Lake Mills Comm.
6. Rake Comm.



WORTH COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. St. Ansgar-Grafton Comm.
2. Northwood-Kensett Comm.
3. North Central Comm.
4. Nora Springs-Rock Falls Comm.
5. Lake Mills Comm.
6. Forest City Comm.



MITCHELL COUNTY
IOWA

scale ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. St. Ansgar-Grafton Comm.
2. Osage Comm.
3. Riceville Comm.
4. Nora Springs-Rock Falls Comm.
5. Rudd-Rockford-Marble Rock Comm.



HANCOCK COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or · Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Forest City-Leland Comm.
2. Woden-Crystal Lake Comm.
3. Britt Comm.
4. Garner-Hayfield Comm.
5. Ventura Comm.
6. Klemme Comm.
7. Meservey Thornton Comm.
8. Belmond Comm.
9. Kanawha Comm.
10. Corwith Wesley Comm.



CERRO GORDO COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼"=1 mi.



⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Ventura Comm.
2. Meservey Thornton Comm.
3. North Central Comm.
4. Nora Springs-Rock Falls Comm.
5. Rudd-Rockford-Marble Rock Comm.
6. Forest City-Leland Comm.
7. Sheffield-Chapin Comm.
8. Rockwell-Swaledale Comm.
9. Clear Lake Comm.
10. Mason City Ind.

FLOYD COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Charles City Comm.
2. Osage Comm.
3. Nashua Comm.
4. Nora Springs-Rock Falls Comm.
5. Rudd-Rockford-Marble Rock Comm.
6. Greene Comm.



WRIGHT COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼"= 1 mi.

▨ In an Independent or Consolated High School District

1. Eagle Grove Comm.
2. Goldfield Comm.
3. Boone Valley Comm.
4. Belmond Comm.
5. Meservey Thornton Comm.
6. Cal. Comm.
7. Dows Comm.
8. Northeast Hamilton Comm.
9. Webster City Comm.
10. Clarion Ind.



FRANKLIN COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Cal Comm.
2. Meservey Thornton Comm.
3. Belmond Comm.
4. Dows Comm.
5. Alden Comm.
6. Iowa Falls Comm.
7. Sheffield-Chapin Comm.
8. Ackley Geneva Comm.
9. Hampton Comm.
10. Dumont Comm.



BUTLER COUNTY
IOWA

scale: 1" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Nashua Comm.
2. Plainfield Comm.
3. Clarksville Comm.
4. Waverly-Shell Rock Comm.
5. Allison-Bristow Comm.
6. Aplington Comm.
7. Ackley Comm.
8. Parkersburg Comm.
9. Dumont Comm.
10. Greene Comm.
11. New Hartford Con.



III ACC

Dickinson, Emmet, Kossuth
Clay, Palo Alto

DICKINSON COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Lake Park Comm.
2. Spirit Lake Comm.
3. Estherville Comm.
4. Terril Comm.
5. Milford Comm.
6. Everly Comm.
7. Arnolds Park Con.



EMMET COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Armstrong Comm.	4. Terril Comm.
2. Lincoln Central Comm.	5. Graettinger Comm.
3. Estherville Comm.	6. Ringsted Ind.

1
2
Estherville
3
4
5
6

KOSSUTH COUNTY

(NORTH)
IOWA

scale: ¼ " = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Armstrong Comm.
2. Swea City Comm.
3. Ledyard Comm.
4. Buffalo Center Comm.
5. Burt Comm.
6. Algona Comm.



KOSSUTH COUNTY

(SOUTH)
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$ " = 1 mi.

7.	Sentral Comm.	10.	West Bend Comm.
8.	Corwith-Wesley Comm.	11.	Titonka Con.
9.	Lu Verne Comm.	12.	Lakota Con.


7
5
6
Algona
8
9
10

CLAY COUNTY

IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Sioux Rapids Comm.
2. South Clay Comm.
3. Ruthven Cons.
4. Terril Comm.
5. Milford Comm.
6. Everly Comm.
7. Hartley Comm.
8. Spencer Comm.
9. Royal Comm.
10. Sutherland Comm.
11. Sioux Valley Comm.
12. Greenvelle-Rossie Con.



PALO ALTO COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District.

1. West Bend Comm.
2. Mallard Comm.
3. Ruthven Cons.
4. Terril Comm.
5. Graettinger Comm.
6. Emmetsburg Comm.
7. Sentral Comm.
8. Cylinder Con.
9. Ayrshire Con.



IV AVS

Lyon, Osceola
Sioux, O'Brien

LYON COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼″ = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. West Lyon Comm.
2. Central Lyon Comm.
3. Little Rock Comm.
4. Sheldon Comm.
5. George Comm.
6. Boyden-Hull Comm.
7. Rock Valley Comm.


Rock Rapids
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
4

OSCEOLA COUNTY

IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Harris Comm.
2. Ocheyedan Comm.
3. Little Rock Comm.
4. Sheldon Comm.
5. Melvin Comm.
6. Hartley Comm.
7. Sibley Ind.



SIOUX COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼ " = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. West Lyon Comm.
2. Maurice-Orange City Comm.
3. Floyd Valley Comm.
4. Sheldon Comm.
5. George Comm.
6. Boyden-Hull Comm.
7. Rock Valley Comm.
8. Sioux Center Comm.
9. West Sioux Comm.


1
5
4
7
6
8
Sioux Center
3
9
Orange City
2

O'BRIEN COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Sutherland Comm.
2. Paullina Comm.
3. Floyd Valley Comm.
4. Sheldon Comm.
5. Melvin Comm.
6. Hartley Comm.
7. Sanborn Comm.
8. Primghar Comm.
9. Everly Comm.
10. Royal Comm.



V ACC

Buena Vista, Pocahontas, Humboldt
Wright, Sac, Calhoun
Webster, Hamilton, Carroll, Greene

BUENA VISTA COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$ " = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Sioux Valley Comm.
2. Sioux Rapids Comm.
3. Albert City-Truesdale Comm.
4. Newell-Providence Comm.
5. Storm Lake Comm.
6. Schaller Comm.
7. Aurelia Comm.
8. Alta Comm.
9. Galva Comm.
10. Marathon Con.
11. Rembrandt Con.



POCAHONTAS COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Laurens Comm.
2. Havelock-Plover Comm.
3. Rolfe Comm.
4. West Bend Comm.
5. Gilmore City-Bradgate
6. Manson Comm.
7. Fonda Comm.
8. Pocahontas Comm.
9. Pomeroy Comm.
10. Palmer Con.



HUMBOLDT COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

1. Eagle Grove Comm.
2. Goldfield Comm.
3. Boone Valley Comm.
4. LuVerne Comm.
5. Twin Rivers Comm.
6. West Bend Comm.
7. Rolfe Comm.
8. Gilmore City-Bradgate
9. Humboldt Comm.

6
5
4
3
7
2
8
9
2
Humboldt
Dakota City
1

WRIGHT COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

▨ In an Independent or Consolated High School District

1. Eagle Grove Comm.
2. Goldfield Comm.
3. Boone Valley Comm.
4. Belmond Comm.
5. Meservey Thornton Comm.
6. Cal. Comm.
7. Dows Comm.
8. Northeast Hamilton Comm.
9. Webster City Comm.
10. Clarion Ind.



SAC COUNTY

IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

1. Denison Comm.
2. Odebolt-Arthur Comm.
3. Galva Comm.
4. Schaller Comm.
5. Storm Lake Comm.
6. Crestland Comm.
7. Newell-Providence
8. Sac Comm.
9. Lytton Comm.
10. Lake View-Auburn Comm.
11. Wall Lake Comm.



3
5
4
7
6
Sac City
9
8
10
2
11
1

CALHOUN COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Lake City Comm.
2. Lohrville Comm.
3. Cedar Valley Comm.
4. Manson Comm.
5. Pomeroy Comm.
6. Rockwell City Comm.
7. Lytton Comm.
8. Fonda Comm.



WEBSTER COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Dayton Comm.
2. Prairie Comm.
3. Cedar Valley Comm.
4. Northwest Webster Comm.
5. Gilmore City-Bradgate
6. Humboldt Comm.
7. Eagle Grove Comm.
8. Fort Dodge Comm.
9. Central Webster Comm.
10. Webster City Comm.
11. Stratford Comm.



HAMILTON COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

1. Webster City Comm.
2. Northeast Hamilton Comm.
3. Radcliffe Comm.
4. Roland Comm.
5. Story City Comm.
6. South Hamilton Comm.
7. Stratford Comm.


1
Webster City
2
3
6
7
5
4

CARROLL COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Glidden-Ralston Comm.
2. Coon Rapids Comm.
3. Manning Comm.
4. Ar-We-Va Comm.
5. Carroll Ind.



GREENE COUNTY
IOWA

scale: 1/4" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Glidden-Ralston Comm.
2. Churdan Comm.
3. Jefferson Comm.
4. East Greene Comm.
5. Yale-Jamaica-Bagley Comm.
6. Bayard Comm.
7. Coon Rapids Comm.
8. Scranton Con.



VI ACC

Hardin, Grundy, Tama
Marshall, Jasper, Poweshiek

HARDIN COUNTY
IOWA

Scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

1. Nesco Comm.
2. New Providence Comm.
3. Union Whitten Comm.
4. Eldora Comm.
5. Steamboat Rock Comm.
6. Ackley-Geneva Comm.
7. Iowa Falls Comm.
8. Owasa Comm.
9. Alden Comm.
10. Radcliffe Comm.
11. Hubbard Comm.
12. Roland Comm.


Iowa Falls
6
9
7
8
5
Eldora
10
11
4
2
3
12
1

GRUNDY COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Grundy Center Comm.
2. Beaman-Conrad Comm.
3. Union-Whitten Comm.
4. Eldora Comm.
5. Wellsburg Comm.
6. Aplington Comm.
7. Ackley-Geneva Comm.
8. Parkersburg Comm.
9. Dike Comm.
10. Reinbeck Comm.



TAMA COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.



⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. South Tama Comm.
2. Belle Plaine Comm.
3. Dysart Comm.
4. Traer-Clutier Comm.
5. Reinbeck Comm.
6. Gladbrook Comm.
7. Garwin Comm.
8. L D F Comm.
9. Gilman Comm.
10. Grundy Center Comm.
11. Geneseo Con.

MARSHALL COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Nesco Comm.
2. New Providence Comm.
3. Clemons Comm.
4. Iowa Falls Comm.
5. Beaman-Conrad Comm.
6. Gladbrook Comm.
7. Marshalltown Comm.
8. L D F Comm.
9. Gilman Comm.
10. Collins Comm.
11. West Marshall Comm.
12. Green Mountain Ind.



JASPER COUNTY
IOWA

scale ¼ in. = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Mingo Comm.
2. Colfax Comm.
3. Southeast Polk Comm.
4. Prairie City Comm.
5. New Monroe Comm.
6. Pella Comm.
7. Lynnville-Sully Comm.
8. Grinnell-Newburg Comm.
9. Newton Comm.
10. Baxter Comm.
11. Collins Comm.
12. Bondurant-Farrar Comm.



POWESHIEK COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

1. South Tama County Comm.
2. Belle Plaine Comm.
3. H L V Comm.
4. Deep River-Millersburg
5. Tri County Comm.
6. North Mahaska Comm.
7. Montezuma Comm.
8. Lynnville-Sully Comm.
9. Gilman Comm.
10. Grinnell-Newburg Comm.
11. Brooklyn-Guernsey-Malcolm


1
9
1
2
10
3
11
Grinnell
7
Montezuma
4
8
5
5
6
6

VII AVS

Bremer, Butler Black Hawk
Grundy, Buchanan, Tama

BREMER COUNTY
IOWA

scale: 1 mi. = $\frac{1}{4}$"

⊙ or ● Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Waverly-Shell Rock Comm.
2. Plainfield Comm.
3. Nashua Comm.
4. Tripoli Comm.
5. Fredericksburg Comm.
6. Wapsie Valley Comm.
7. Denver Comm.
8. Janesville Con.



BUTLER COUNTY
IOWA

scale: 1" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Nashua Comm.
2. Plainfield Comm.
3. Clarksville Comm.
4. Waverly-Shell Rock Comm.
5. Allison-Bristow Comm.
6. Aplington Comm.
7. Ackley Comm.
8. Parkersburg Comm.
9. Dumont Comm.
10. Greene Comm.
11. New Hartford Con.



BLACK HAWK COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Reinbeck Comm.
2. Hudson Comm.
3. Waterloo Ind.
4. La Porte City Comm.
5. Dunkerton Comm.
6. Wapsie Valley Comm.
7. Denver Comm.
8. Cedar Falls Comm.
9. Dike Comm.



GRUNDY COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Grundy Center Comm.
2. Beaman-Conrad Comm.
3. Union-Whitten Comm.
4. Eldora Comm.
5. Wellsburg Comm.
6. Aplington Comm.
7. Ackley-Geneva Comm.
8. Parkersburg Comm.
9. Dike Comm.
10. Reinbeck Comm.



BUCHANAN COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Oelwein Comm.
2. East Buchanan Comm.
3. Starmont Comm.
4. Jesup Comm.
5. Wapsie Valley Comm.
6. Independence Ind.


1
5
3
6
4
Independence
2



TAMA COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or ● Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. South Tama Comm.
2. Belle Plaine Comm.
3. Dysart Comm.
4. Traer-Clutier Comm.
5. Reinbeck Comm.
6. Gladbrook Comm.
7. Garwin Comm.
8. L D F Comm.
9. Gilman Comm.
10. Grundy Center Comm.
11. Geneseo Con.

VIII

Delaware, Dubuque, Jackson

DELAWARE COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼ in. = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Edgewood(Colesburg) Comm.
2. Western Dubuque Comm.
3. Monticello Comm.
4. Maquoketa Valley Comm.
5. Coggon Comm.
6. West Delaware Comm.
7. Starmont Comm.



IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$"= 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Dubuque Comm.
2. Western Dubuque Comm.
3. Monticello Comm.



JACKSON COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or. Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Bellevue Comm.
2. Western Dubuque Comm.
3. Maquoketa Comm.
4. Andrew Comm.
5. Preston Con.
6. Miles Comm.
7. Sabula Comm.



IX ACC

Clinton, Cedar, Scott
Muscatine, Louisa

CLINTON COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Lost Nation Comm.
2. Delwood Comm.
3. Northeast Comm.
4. Miles Comm.
5. Sabula Comm.
6. Clinton Comm.
7. Camanche Comm.
8. Central Clinton Comm.
9. Calamus Comm.
10. Wheatland Comm.



CEDAR COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Wilton Comm.
2. Durant Comm.
3. Bennett Comm.
4. Clarence Comm.
5. Lincoln Comm.
6. Tipton Comm.
7. West Branch Comm.
8. West Liberty Comm.
9. Lowden Comm.



SCOTT COUNTY
IOWA
scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. North Scott Comm.
2. Bettendorf Comm.
3. Davenport Comm.
4. Bluegrass Comm.
5. Walcott Comm.
6. Durant Comm.
7. Bennett Comm.
8. Wheatland Comm.
9. Le Claire Twp.
10. Pleasant Valley Twp.


8
7
1
6
9
5
3
2
10
Davenport
Bettendorf
4

MUSCATINE COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼ in. = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Columbus Comm.
2. Louisa-Muscatine Comm.
3. Muscatine Comm.
4. Bluegrass Comm.
5. Walcott Comm.
6. Durant Comm.
7. Wilton Comm.
8. West Liberty Comm.
9. Area No. 6 Comm.



LOUISA COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼ in. = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Lone Tree Comm.
2. Columbus Comm.
3. Winfield-Mt. Union Comm.
4. Morning Sun Comm.
5. Mediapolis Comm.
6. Wapello Comm.
7. Louisa-Muscatine Comm.



X ACC

Benton, Linn, Jones
Cedar, Iowa, Johnson
Clinton, Keokuk, Washington



BENTON COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ½ in. = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Vinton Comm.
2. Belle Plaine Comm.
3. Urbana Con.
4. Shellsburg Con.
5. Newhall Con.
6. Norway Con.
7. Van Horne Con.
8. Keystone Con.
9. Garrison Con.



LINN COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Coggon Comm.
2. Alburnett Comm.
3. Marion Rural Comm.
4. Cedar Rapids Comm.
5. College Comm.
6. Solon Comm.
7. Mount Vernon Comm.
8. Springville Comm.
9. Central City Comm.
10. Anamosa Comm.
11. Monticello Comm.
12. Troy Mills Con.
13. Walker Con.
14. Center Point Con.
15. Marion Ind.
16. Lisbon Con.

JONES COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Anamosa Comm.
2. Monticello Comm.
3. Midland Comm.
4. Clarence Comm.
5. Lincoln Comm.
6. Olin Con.
7. Oxford Junction Con.



CEDAR COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Wilton Comm.
2. Durant Comm.
3. Bennett Comm.
4. Clarence Comm.
5. Lincoln Comm.
6. Tipton Comm.
7. West Branch Comm.
8. West Liberty Comm.
9. Lowden Comm.



IOWA COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. H L V Comm.
2. Belle Plaine Comm.
3. Iowa Valley Comm.
4. Williamsburg Comm.
5. Clear Creek Comm.
6. Mid Prairie Comm.
7. English Valleys Comm.
8. Tri County Comm.
9. Deep River-Millersburg



JOHNSON COUNTY
IOWA
scale: ¼" = 1 mi.



⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Lone Tree Comm.
2. Iowa City Comm.
3. Williamsburg Comm.
4. Clear Creek Comm.
5. College Comm.
6. Solon Comm.
7. West Branch Comm.
8. West Liberty Comm.

CLINTON COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Lost Nation Comm.
2. Delwood Comm.
3. Northeast Comm.
4. Miles Comm.
5. Sabula Comm.
6. Clinton Comm.
7. Camanche Comm.
8. Central Clinton Comm.
9. Calamus Comm.
10. Wheatland Comm.



KEOKUK COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Tri County Comm.
2. English Valleys Comm.
3. Keota Comm.
4. Sigourney Comm.
5. Pekin Comm.
6. Hedrick Con.



WASHINGTON COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Keota Comm.
2. Mid Prairie Comm.
3. Washington Comm.
4. Waco Comm.
5. Highland Comm.


2
1
5
3
Washington
4

XI ACC

Boone, Story, Guthrie
Dallas, Polk, Jasper
Adair, Madison, Warren
Marion, Mahaska

BOONE COUNTY

IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Grand Comm.
2. Stratford Comm.
3. Story City Comm.
4. Gilbert Comm.
5. United Comm.
6. Ballard Comm.
7. Woodward Comm.
8. Ogden Comm.
9. Boone Comm.
10. East Greene Comm.
11. Madrid Ind.



STORY COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ½" = 1 mi.

1. Ballard Comm.
2. North Polk Comm.
3. Maxwell Comm.
4. Collins Comm.
5. West Marshall Comm.
6. Colo Comm.
7. Nesco Comm.
8. Roland Comm.
9. Story City Comm.
10. Gilbert Comm.
11. United Comm.
12. Ames Comm.
13. Nevada Comm.


9
8
7
10
Ames
Nevada
6
11
6
12
1
3
4
2

GUTHRIE COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼ in. = 1 mi.

⊙ or · Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Coon Rapids Comm.
2. Bayard Comm.
3. Yale-Jamaica-Bagley Comm.
4. Panora-Linden Comm.
5. Dexfield Comm.
6. Stuart Comm.
7. Menlo Comm.
8. Adair-Casey Comm.
9. Guthrie Center Comm.
10. Audubon Comm.
11. Exira Comm.


1
2
3
10
9
Guthrie Center
4
5
11
8
7
6

DALLAS COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼ in. = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Earlham Comm.
2. Adel Comm.
3. Van Meter Comm.
4. West Des Moines Comm.
5. Waukee Comm.
6. Dallas Center Comm.
7. Woodward Comm.
8. Central Dallas Comm.
9. Perry Comm.
10. Panora-Linden Comm.
11. Dexfield Comm.



POLK COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Ballard Comm.
2. North Polk Comm.
3. Maxwell Comm.
4. Bondurant-Farrar Comm.
5. Ankeny Comm.
6. Southeast Polk Comm.
7. Des Moines Ind. Comm.
8. West Des Moines Comm.
9. Urbandale Comm.
10. Johnston Con.
11. Saydel Con.



JASPER COUNTY
IOWA

scale ¼ in. = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Mingo Comm.
2. Colfax Comm.
3. Southeast Polk Comm.
4. Prairie City Comm.
5. New Monroe Comm.
6. Pella Comm.
7. Lynnville-Sully Comm.
8. Grinnell-Newburg Comm.
9. Newton Comm.
10. Baxter Comm.
11. Collins Comm.
12. Bondurant-Farrar Comm.



ADAIR COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Adair-Casey Comm.
2. Menlo Comm.
3. Stuart Comm.
4. Greenfield Comm.
5. Orient-Macksburg Comm.
6. Bridgewater-Fontanelle



MADISON COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼ in. = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Orient Macksburg Comm.
2. Winterset Comm.
3. East Union Comm.
4. Interstate 35 Comm.
5. Martensdale-St. Mary's Comm.
6. Van Meter Comm.
7. Earlham Comm.
8. Greenfield Comm.



WARREN COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼ " = 1 mi.

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Indianola Comm.
2. Pleasantville Comm.
3. South East Warren Co.
4. Interstate 35 Comm.
5. Martensdale-St. Marys Comm.
6. Des Moines Ind. Comm.
7. Norwalk Con.
8. Carlisle Con.



MARION COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ½" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Melcher-Dallas Comm.
2. Pleasantville Comm.
3. Southeast Polk Comm.
4. Prairie City Comm.
5. New Monroe Comm.
6. Pella Comm.
7. Knoxville Comm.
8. Twin Cedars Comm.



MAHASKA COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

▨ **In an Independent or Consolidated High School District**

1. Oskaloosa Comm.
2. Eddyville Comm.
3. Twin Cedars Comm.
4. Pella Comm.
5. Lynnville-Sully Comm.
6. North Mahaska Comm.
7. Tri County Comm.
8. Fremont Ind.



XII AVS

Plymouth, Cherokee, Woodbury
Ida, Monona, Crawford

PLYMOUTH COUNTY
(EAST)
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

5. Lawton Comm.
6. Hinton Comm.
7. Westfield Comm.
8. Akron Comm.
9. West Sioux Comm.


1
3
Le Mars
2
3
4
6
5

PLYMOUTH COUNTY
(WEST)
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. LeMars Comm.
2. Remsen-Union Comm.
3. Marcus Comm.
4. Kingsley-Pierson Comm.



CHEROKEE COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}"$ = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Sutherland Comm.
2. Paullina Comm.
3. Marcus Comm.
4. Kingsley-Pierson Comm.
5. Willow Comm.
6. Meriden-Cleghorn Comm.
7. Aurelia Comm.
8. Alta Comm.
9. Galva Comm.
10. Holstein Comm.
11. Cherokee Ind.



WOODBURY COUNTY
(E AST)
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" ≡ 1 mi.

5.	Battle Creek Comm.	9.	Bronson Comm.
6.	Maple Valley Comm.	10.	Sioux City Ind.
7.	Westwood Comm.	11.	Correctionville Ind.
8.	Sergeant Bluff-Luton Comm.	12.	Cushing Con.



WOODBURY COUNTY
(WEST)
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Lawton Comm.
2. Woodbury Central Comm.
3. Kingsley-Pierson Comm.
4. Anthon-Oto Comm.



IDA COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Ida Grove Comm.
2. Odebolt-Arthur Comm.
3. Galva Comm.
4. Schaller Comm.
5. Holstein Comm.
6. Willow Comm.
7. Battle Creek Comm.
8. Maple Valley Comm.
9. Schleswig Comm.



MONONA COUNTY
IOWA

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

scale: ½"=1 mi.

1. East Monona Comm.
2. Woodbine Comm.
3. Dunlap Comm.
4. Charter Oak Comm.
5. Castana Comm.
6. Maple Valley Comm.
7. Westwood Comm.
8. Whiting Comm.
9. West Monona Comm.
10. West Harrison Comm.



CRAWFORD COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963
▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Ar-We-Va Comm.
2. Manning Comm.
3. Manilla Comm.
4. Denison Comm.
5. Dow City-Arion Comm.
6. Charter Oak-Ute Comm.
7. Schleswig Comm.
8. Battle Creek Comm.



XIII ACC

Harrison, Shelby, Audubon
Guthrie, Pottawattamie, Cass
Mills, Fremont, Page

HARRISON COUNTY

IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District



1. Dunlap Comm.
2. Woodbine Comm.
3. Tri Center Comm.
4. Logan-Magnolia Comm.
5. West Harrison Comm.
6. Missouri Valley Ind.

SHELBY COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ½" = 1 mi.

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Elk Horn-Kimballton Comm.
2. Irwin Comm.
3. Manning Comm.
4. Manilla Comm.
5. Dow City-Arion Comm.
6. Harlan Comm.
7. Shelby Comm.
8. Avoha Comm.
9. Walnut Comm.



AUDUBON COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Manning Comm.
2. Audubon Comm.
3. Coon Rapids Comm.
4. Exira Comm.
5. Anita Comm.
6. Elk Horn-Kimballton Comm.



GUTHRIE COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ½ in. = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Coon Rapids Comm.
2. Bayard Comm.
3. Yale-Jamaica-Bagley Comm.
4. Panora-Linden Comm.
5. Dexfield Comm.
6. Stuart Comm.
7. Menlo Comm.
8. Adair-Casey Comm.
9. Guthrie Center Comm.
10. Audubon Comm.
11. Exira Comm.


1
2
3
10
9
4
Guthrie Center
11
5
8
7
6

POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY
(WEST)
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or. Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Walnut Comm.
2. Avoha Comm.
3. Tri Center Comm.
4. Underwood Comm.
5. Lewis Central Comm.
6. Treynor Comm.


3
4
Carter Lake
12
Council Bluffs
6
5

POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY
(EAST)
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

7. Carson-Macedonia Comm.
8. Red Oak Comm.
9. Griswold Comm.
10. Atlantic Comm.
11. Oakland Ind.
12. Council Bluffs Ind.



CASS COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Anita Comm.
2. C and M Comm.
3. Griswold Comm.
4. Atlantic Comm.
5. Walnut Comm.



MILLS COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

1. Lewis Central Comm.
2. Glenwood Comm.
3. Malvern Comm.
4. Nishna Valley Comm.
5. Shenandoah Comm.
6. Fremont Mills Comm.


1
2
Glenwood
3
4
5
6
6

FREMONT COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ and/or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Hamburg Comm.
2. Farragut Comm.
3. Shenandoah Comm.
4. Fremont Mills Comm.
5. Sidney Comm.



PAGE COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼ in. = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Villisca Comm.
2. Clarinda Comm.
3. Shenandoah Comm.
4. South Page Comm.
5. New Market Comm.
6. Essex Ind.



XIV ACC

Adair, Adams, Union
Clarke, Montgomery, Taylor
Ringgold, Decatur

ADAIR COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Adair-Casey Comm.
2. Menlo Comm.
3. Stuart Comm.
4. Greenfield Comm.
5. Orient-Macksburg Comm.
6. Bridgewater-Fontanelle



ADAMS COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Villisca Comm.
2. Griswold Comm.
3. Corning Comm.
4. Prescott Comm.
5. Orient-Macksburg Comm.
6. Lenox Comm.



UNION COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Orient-Macksburg Comm.
2. Creston Comm.
3. East Union Comm.
4. Murray Comm.
5. Lenox Comm.



CLARKE COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. East Union Comm.
2. Interstate 35 Comm.
3. Murray Comm.
4. Grand Valley Comm.
5. Clarke Comm.
6. Mormon Trail Comm.



MONTGOMERY COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Villisca Comm.
2. Griswold Comm.
3. Red Oak Comm.
4. Nishna Valley Comm.
5. Stanton Ind.



TAYLOR COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mile

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Villisca Comm.
2. New Market Comm.
3. Corning Comm.
4. Lenox Comm.
5. Clearfield Comm.
6. Bedford Comm.



RINGGOLD COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$ " = 1 mi.

1. Grand Valley Comm.
2. East Union Comm.
3. Diagonal Comm.
4. Lenox Comm.
5. Clearfield Comm.
6. Bedford Comm.
7. Mount Ayr Comm.
8. Lamoni Comm.


2
4
2
1
3
5
Mount Ayr
1
7
8
6

DECATUR COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼ " = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Lamoni Comm.
2. Grand Valley Comm.
3. Central Decatur Comm.
4. Clarke Comm.
5. Mormon Trail Comm.
6. A C L Comm.



XV ACC

Mahaska, Keokuk, Lucas
Monroe, Wapello, Jefferson
Wayne, Appanoose, Davis, Van Buren

MAHASKA COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼ " = 1 mi.

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Oskaloosa Comm.
2. Eddyville Comm.
3. Twin Cedars Comm.
4. Pella Comm.
5. Lynnville-Sully Comm.
6. North Mahaska Comm.
7. Tri County Comm.
8. Fremont Ind.



KEOKUK COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Tri County Comm.
2. English Valleys Comm.
3. Keota Comm.
4. Sigourney Comm.
5. Pekin Comm.
6. Hedrick Con.



LUCAS COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Mormon Trail Comm.
2. Chariton Comm.
3. Russell Comm.
4. South East Warren



m

MONROE COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Russell Comm.
2. Albia Comm.
3. Moravia Comm.
4. Blakesburg Comm.
5. Eddyville Comm.



WAPELLO COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{2}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Blakesburg Comm.
2. Ottumwa Comm.
3. Cardinal Comm.
4. Pekin Comm.
5. Eddyville Comm.
6. Fairfield Comm.



JEFFERSON COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or. Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Cardinal Comm.
2. Pekin Comm.
3. Fairfield Comm.
4. Van Buren Comm.


2
1
Fairfield
1
3
1
4

WAYNE COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. A C L Comm.
2. Seymour Comm.
3. Cambria-Corydon Comm.
4. Russell Comm.
5. Mormon Trail Comm.



APPANOOSE COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Seymour Comm.
2. Albia Comm.
3. Moravia Comm.
4. Moulton-Udell Comm.
5. Centerville Ind.


2
1→
3
5
Centerville
1
4

DAVIS COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Davis Comm.
2. Moulton-Udell Comm.
3. Moravia Comm.
4. Blakesburg Comm.
5. Cardinal Comm.
6. Fox Valley Comm.


4
3
5
2
Bloomfield
1
2
2
6

VAN BUREN COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

1. Davis Comm.
2. Fox Valley Comm.
3. Van Buren Comm.
4. Harmony Comm.
5. Fairfield Comm.
6. Cardinal Comm.



XVI ACC

Henry, Des Moines, Lee

HENRY COUNTY
IOWA

scale: $\frac{1}{4}$ in. = 1 mi.

⊙ or • Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963.

1. Mt. Pleasant Comm.
2. Waco Comm.
3. Winfield-Mt. Union Comm.
4. New London Comm.
5. Danville Comm.


2
3
1
Mount Pleasant
4
5

DES MOINES COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼" = 1 mi.

⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963

▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District

1. Burlington Comm.
2. Danville Comm.
3. New London Comm.
4. Winfield-Mt. Union Comm.
5. Morning Sun Comm.
6. Mediapolis Comm.
7. Wapello Comm.
8. Yarmouth Con.
9. West Burlington Ind.





LEE COUNTY
IOWA

scale: ¼ " = 1 mi.
⊙ or . Not in a High School District as of August 30, 1963
▨ In an Independent or Consolidated High School District
1. Fort Madison Comm.
2. Central Lee Comm.
3. Keokuk Comm.
4. Van Buren Comm.
1
2
3
4
Keokuk